Single Family Home Sales Comparison 6 Month (Jan - June)

PHOCE EX.MON9.401<	AREA	Sales 2012	Sales 2011	Change	% Change	Median Price 2012	Median Price 2011	<u>Median %</u> Change	Average DOM 2012	Average DOM 2011	<u>DOM %</u> Change	Distressed Properties 2012	Distressed Properties 2011	<u>% Change</u> Distressed Properties
TIDEN LOW 77 41 11 1258 558000 50000 50000 200 201 201 101 16 61 50 50 500 500000 500000 500000 500000 500000 500000 500000 500000						-								22.20%
UTILE CONTON 16 213 5 46.45% 5412.600 5455.000 4.86% 20 246 2911% 3 1 10 CORTSOLUTIN 99 58 011 1057% 597.000 595.700 -10.31% 137 137 138 4.25% 00 7 40 NENPOERT 97 0 0.00% 597.000 597.700 -0.01% 137 138 4.25% 00 7 40 NENPOERT 92 68 14 20.5% 515.200 599.201 0.01% 137 137 172 77.2% 0 11 0.0 NENPOERT 92 68 14 20.5% 515.200 599.201 0.01% 137 137 172 77.2% 0 11 0.0 NENPOERT 92 7 7 12 28 557.000 597.201 0.01% 137 137 172 77.2% 0 11 0.0 NENPOERT 92 7 7 12 28 557.000 597.201 7.01% 137 137 7.2% 0 2 10 NENPOERT 92 7 7 12 28 557.200 597.201 7.01% 137 137 7.5% 0 2 2 50 NETEO A EAST BAY NERTO A LAST BAY	NEWPORT COUNTY													
PORTSMOUTH 49 53 91 93974 5393.000 9325.001 9328.100	TIVERTON	57	43	14	32.56%	\$188,000	\$209,000	-10.05%	238	223	6.73%	16	6	166.67%
MEDIC EFFOND 47 47 47 60 60% 573,800 570,200 227,800 177 178	LITTLE COMPTON	16	11	5	45.45%	\$410,000	\$455,000	-9.89%	293	246	19.11%	3	1	200.00%
NEWPORT B2 68 14 295.99 5352.900 500,90 137 117 17 90,95 19 11 9. AMESTOWN 26 28 -2 -7.446 5447,260 5440,000 91,30 930 113 16.566 5 2 10 16. 16.566 5 2 10 10 16.566 5 2 10 16. 16.566 5 2 10 16. 16.566 16. </td <td>PORTSMOUTH</td> <td>69</td> <td>58</td> <td>11</td> <td>18.97%</td> <td>\$290,000</td> <td>\$325,500</td> <td>-10.91%</td> <td>130</td> <td>125</td> <td>4.00%</td> <td>15</td> <td>9</td> <td>66.67%</td>	PORTSMOUTH	69	58	11	18.97%	\$290,000	\$325,500	-10.91%	130	125	4.00%	15	9	66.67%
NEWPORT 92 66 14 20.55 502.200 502.200 507.200 517 170	MIDDLETOWN	47	47	0	0.00%	\$233,500	\$300,000	-22.17%	137	138	-0.72%	10	7	42.86%
JAMESTOWN 24 28 2 7.1% 541,250 541,050 9.1% 193 16.5% 5 2 1 BARRINGTON 111 07 14 11.4% 533,000 537,000 7.9% 95 100 10.3% 14 6 1 3 BARRINGTON 111 07 1.4 11.4% 533,000 537,000 7.9% 95 100 1.0.3% 1.4 6 1.4 3 <td>NEWPORT</td> <td>82</td> <td>68</td> <td>14</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>11</td> <td>-9.09%</td>	NEWPORT	82	68	14									11	-9.09%
ARRINGTON 111 97 14 14.03% 5337.00 2375.00 27.87% 95 106 40.38% 14 6 113 WARREN 27 18 9 50.000 2355.000 22.87% 100 40.7 6.54% 9 2.6 300 EAST PROVIDENCE 143 178 20.28% 5167,700 4.44% 102 97 18 4.34% 122 4.0 17 EAST SECONDENCE 128 174 44 2.29% 556.00 596.000 11.0 10 4.44% 122 4.0 12.0 1.0 1.0 4.44% 122 4.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 4.44% 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 4.2 1.0	JAMESTOWN	26	28	-2	-7.14%	\$447,500		9.15%	190	163	16.56%	5	2	150.00%
ARRINGTON 111 97 14 14.03% 5337.00 2375.00 27.87% 95 106 40.38% 14 6 113 WARREN 27 18 9 50.000 2355.000 22.87% 100 40.7 6.54% 9 2.6 300 EAST PROVIDENCE 143 178 20.28% 5167,700 4.44% 102 97 18 4.34% 122 4.0 17 EAST SECONDENCE 128 174 44 2.29% 556.00 596.000 11.0 10 4.44% 122 4.0 12.0 1.0 1.0 4.44% 122 4.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 4.44% 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 4.2 1.0	METRO & EAST BAY													
WARREN1718950.0015185,000274.981001076.54%92358GRISTO441357712.28%527.000578.50041026210212.28%11610PRCVIDENCE431744142.52%586.000586.000517.074.44%10010104.45%1224916STAT DIC PROV7764442.52%586.000541.00010.17%10104.45%102323NORTH PROVIDENCE1289923223.9%53.66.000514.0008.7%8.8%618.6%103.8%3.8%CANSTON2311061074.4%1074.6%1074.4%1010.6%10.7%10.6%10.7%10.6%10.7%10.6%10.7%10.6%10.7%10.6%10.7%10.6%10.7%10.6%10.7%10.6%10.7%10.6%10.7%10.7%10.6%10.7%		111	97	14	14.43%	\$330.000	\$357.500	-7.69%	95	106	-10.38%	14	6	133.33%
BRISTOL 64 57 7 12.28% \$237,500 5365,000 10.38% 87 106 116 16 10 EAST PROVDENCE 113 113 25 21.15% 5160,200 5167,750 4.44% 102 92 10.5% 4.49% 102 83 31 EAST BICO PROVENCE 178 44 155 23.44% 5452,000 542.200 10.5% 010 42.95% 60 42 55 DORMTH PROVENCE 114 105 9 93 857% 540.000 5180,000 8.78% 84 91 6.83% 61 30 15 DORMTH PROVENCE 114 105 9 8.7% 5140,000 5786,000 8.7% 88 81 61 62 30 8.3% 62 9.3% 61 106 4.6% 510 2.0 51 50 5.3 62 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 <td< td=""><td></td><td>27</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>. ,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>350.00%</td></td<>		27	-				. ,						-	350.00%
AST PROVIDENCE 143 118 23 21.19% 5160.300 5287.750 4.44% 102 92 10.27% 77 81 4.46% 112 63 13 RROVIDENCE 128 174 444 22.29% 556.000 558.000 132.7% 77 81 4.46% 132 6 4 55 SAT SIDE OF/NO 78 64 15 23.44% 554.000 538.000 9.37% 98 81 2.09% 60 4 55 DANSTIN 144 105 9 8.50% 538.000 5.78% 54 78 84 10 4.29% 60 1 CARNSTON 74 66 14 5.50% 525.000 537.000 1.51% 104 16.4 18.9% 103 102 3. 2.2 3. CARNSTON 716 6.4 6.5% 510.200 513.737 18.316 10.4 18.4 4.4 3.3 3.3<			-	-			. ,			-				0.00%
PROVIDENCE21817444425.2%583.000998.000513.27%7781-1.9%122929331EAST SIDE of Prior7264152.2.4%546.000511.00010.0%307044.2%6450502555JOINSTON11410592.2.2.%516.0003.2%88618.0%6.2%50														17.50%
EAST SIDE of Prov 79 64 15 23.4% 5462,000 5417,500 10.60% 101 70 44.29% 6 4 50 NORTH PROVIDENCE 128 99 20 29.2% 514.400 514.000 313% 98 81 0.09% 50 32 56 OCHNSTON 114 105 9 85.7% 514.000 514.000 34.7% 84 79 6.33% 91 90 1 NORTH 70 56 14 25.00% 522.500 524.300 -7.0% 30 114 18.47% 30 32 2.2 3 NORTH 190 127 23 18.11% 511.870 513.50 43.317.88 109 100 9.0% 33 32 2.2 3 NORTH SMITHFIELD 43 37 6 16.22% 513.500 43.31% 100 9.0% 313 322 3.1 NORTH SMITHFIELD 43														31.18%
NORTH PROVIDENCE 128 99 20 20.20% S146.200 5.181.000 -0.11% 98 81 20.99% 50 32 15 LORNSTON 114 105 9 8.57% S146.000 5.14.000 2.78% 88 81 8.64% 62 33 58 CRANSTON 293 252 4.1 16.57% S184.200 5.180.000 -8.7% 84 79 6.34% 62 33 58 NORTH 70 56 14 25.07% 5525,000 5228,250 -0.55% 104 106 1.84% 30 33 22 5 CUMBERLAND 744 60 4 6.5% 510.250 513.738 48.11% 106 4.90% 33 322 5 5 CUMBERLAND 43 317 6 162.2% 513.737 48 58.137.74 513.137.14 48.11% 106 4.75% 5 5 5 5 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>50.00%</td></t<>														50.00%
OdeNSTON 114 116 9 8.37% 5140,000 5344,000 -2.78% 8.8 8.1 8.4% 6.2 3.9 5.8 CRANSTON 233 252 4.1 15.27% 5164,200 5380,000 -8.78% 8.4 79 6.33% 91 90 1 NORTH 70 56 14 25.00% 5225,000 5228,200 -0.55% 104 106 -1.89% 10 12 58 CUMEERLAND 144 6.0 4 6.67% 5210,000 5235,000 -3.52% 98 83 18.07% 53 3.2 3.3 VOONSOCKET 4.4 1000 573,88 51,450 450,000 115 99 942 11 10.0 4.0 10.0			-	_										56.25%
CRANSTON 293 252 41 16.27% S164.00 S180.00 8.78% 84 79 6.33% 91 90 1 NORTH 1<														58.97%
NORTH Image: Constraint of the second s												-		
LINCOLN 70 56 14 25.0% 5225.00 5234.50 -5.5% 104 106 -1.89% 10.9 10.2 13.8 12.0 13.8 12.0 13.8 12.0 13.8 12.0 13.8 13.9 13.8 13.9 13.8 13.9 13.9 13.8 13.9 13.9 13.9 13.9 13.9 13.9 13.9 13.9 13.9 13.9 13.9 13.9 13.9 13.9 13.9	CRANSTON	295	252	41	16.27%	\$164,200	\$180,000	-8.78%	84	79	0.33%	91	90	1.11%
CLUMBERLAND 148 112 15 112 112 114 518,000 5244,500 -7.04% 63 114 -18.22% 130 38 212 WOONSOCKET 64 66 4 6.67% \$100,200 \$133,738 118.31% 100 1000 9.00% 33 32 3. PAWTUCKET 150 127 23 18.11% \$115,000 \$135,000 135.2% 98 83 18.07% 58 55 5.	<u>NORTH</u>													
Construct 64 60 4 6.67% 5199,750 513,738 -18,31% 109 109 90% 33 32 3.3 PAWTUCKET 150 127 23 18,11% \$116,750 \$133,738 -18,31% 98 63 18,07% 58 55 5.5 CENTRAL FALLS 8 4 4 100,00% \$73,883 \$51,450 43,60% 115 59 94,92% 44 3 33 NORTH SMITHFIELD 43 37 6 16,52% \$23,000 \$218,000 5,56% 91 132 31,06% 20 166 25 GLOCESTER 37 0 0,00% \$190,000 \$188,000 5,56% 91 132 31,91% 15 14 7. FOSTER 18 15 3 20,00% \$271,100 \$233,500 30,57% 64 94 -31,91% 15 6 15 SCITUATE 39 28	LINCOLN	70	56	14	25.00%	\$225,000	\$226,250	-0.55%	104	106	-1.89%	19	12	58.33%
AWTUCKET 150 127 23 18.11% 516,750 513,000 -13.5% 08 88 10.0% 58 55 5. CENTRAL FALLS 8 4 4 100.00% \$73,883 \$51,450 43.50% 115 59 94.92% 4 3 33 NORTH SMITHFIELD 43 27 6 16.22% \$230,000 \$218,000 5.50% 111 106 4.72% 11 10 10 BURRILLVILLE 65 52 13 25.00% \$190,000 \$188,750 0.66% 91 132 -31.06% 20 16 25 GLOCESTER 37 0 0.00% \$271,100 \$237,500 14.15% 60 106 -24.53% 4 5 20 5 14 7. SOUTH COUNTY 10 10 39 28 11 39.2% \$26,000 \$321,445 17.2% 102 85 20.00% 5 1 <td< td=""><td>CUMBERLAND</td><td>148</td><td>112</td><td>36</td><td>32.14%</td><td>\$218,000</td><td>\$234,500</td><td>-7.04%</td><td>93</td><td>114</td><td>-18.42%</td><td>30</td><td>38</td><td>-21.05%</td></td<>	CUMBERLAND	148	112	36	32.14%	\$218,000	\$234,500	-7.04%	93	114	-18.42%	30	38	-21.05%
CENTRAL FALLS 8 4 4 100.00% 573,883 551,450 43.60% 115 55 94.92% 4 3 3 NORTH SMITHFIELD 43 37 6 16.22% \$530,000 \$218,000 5.50% 111 106 4.72% 111 100 10 SMITHFIELD 55 52 13 2.500% \$519,000 \$188,750 0.66% 91 132 3.10% 2.0 1.6 2.5 GLOCESTER 37 37 0 0.00% \$271,000 \$237,500 14.15% 800 106 2.453% 4 5 2.0 SCITUATE 39 2.000% \$271,000 \$237,500 14.15% 800 106 2.453% 4 5 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 1.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0<	WOONSOCKET	64	60	4	6.67%	\$109,250	\$133,738	-18.31%	109	100	9.00%	33	32	3.13%
NORTH SMITHFIELD 43 37 6 16.22% 5230,000 5210,000 5.50% 111 106 4.72% 11 10 <td>PAWTUCKET</td> <td>150</td> <td>127</td> <td>23</td> <td>18.11%</td> <td>\$116,750</td> <td>\$135,000</td> <td>-13.52%</td> <td>98</td> <td>83</td> <td>18.07%</td> <td>58</td> <td>55</td> <td>5.45%</td>	PAWTUCKET	150	127	23	18.11%	\$116,750	\$135,000	-13.52%	98	83	18.07%	58	55	5.45%
SMITHFIELD 55 53 2 3.7% \$217,500 \$219,000 -0.68% 72 61 18.0% 15 15 0.0 BURRILLVILLE 65 52 13 25.00% \$190,000 \$188,750 0.66% 91 132 -31.06% 20 16 25 GLOCESTER 37 07 0.00% \$5190,000 \$5180,000 5.56% 77 119 -35.2% 14 57 200 525,500 30.7% 64 94 -31.06% 40 5 200 525,500 -0.57% 610 -4.53% 4 5 20.07 525,500 -0.57% 610 70 70 110 60 10 525,500 -0.72% 102 85 20.00% 5.1 400 SOLTH COUNTY 1 6 3.24% 526,000 523,490 -17.25% 102 85 20.00% 5.1 400 HOPKINTON 31 23 4 2 <	CENTRAL FALLS	8	4	4	100.00%	\$73,883	\$51,450	43.60%	115	59	94.92%	4	3	33.33%
BURRILLVILLE 65 52 13 25.00% 5190,000 5188,750 0.66% 91 132 31.06% 20 16 7 GLOCESTER 37 37 0 0.00% \$190,000 \$180,000 5.56% 77 119 35.29% 15 14 7 POSTER 18 15 3 20.00% \$221,100 \$237,500 14.15% 800 106 24.53% 44 52 20 SCITUATE 18 128 11 39.29% \$17,600 \$521,450 -17.25% 102 45.5 20.00% 51 16 16 16 160 160 160 160 16 160 160 160 160 16	NORTH SMITHFIELD	43	37	6	16.22%	\$230,000	\$218,000	5.50%	111	106	4.72%	11	10	10.00%
GLOCESTER 37 37 0.0 0.00% S190.000 S180.000 S.56% 77 119 -3.5.9% 15 14 7. FOSTER 18 15 3.3 20.00% S271.100 S237.500 14.15% 80 106 -24.53% 4.4 5 20 SCITUATE 39 2.8 11.1 3.9 \$176.000 S237.500 -30.57% 6.4 9.4 -31.91% 1.5 6.0 1.5 SCITUATE 7 1.0 7 1.0 7 1.0 1	SMITHFIELD	55	53	2	3.77%	\$217,500	\$219,000	-0.68%	72	61	18.03%	15	15	0.00%
FOSTER 18 15 3 20.087 521,000 5237,500 14.15% 80 106 -24.53% 44 5 20.07 SCITUATE 39 28 11 39.29% \$176,000 \$2237,500 14.15% 80 106 -24.53% 44 5 26.0 SCITUATE 39 28 11 39.29% \$176,000 \$2237,500 14.15% 80 106 -24.53% 44 5 6 15.0 SOUTH COUNTY Image: Constrained and the problem of the proble	BURRILLVILLE	65	52	13	25.00%	\$190,000	\$188,750	0.66%	91	132	-31.06%	20	16	25.00%
SCITUATE 39 28 11 39.2% \$176,000 \$253,500 -30.57% 64 94 -31.91% 15 66 150 SOUTH COUNTY Image: Constraint of the	GLOCESTER	37	37	0	0.00%	\$190,000	\$180,000	5.56%	77	119	-35.29%	15	14	7.14%
Internation Internation <thinternation< th=""> <thinternation< th=""></thinternation<></thinternation<>	FOSTER	18	15	3	20.00%	\$271,100	\$237,500	14.15%	80	106	-24.53%	4	5	-20.00%
EXETER 26 24 2 8.33% \$266,000 \$321,445 -17.25% 102 85 20.00% 5 1 400 HOPKINTON 31 23 8 34.78% \$193,000 \$234,900 -17.84% 91 68 33.82% 12 6 100 RICHMOND 32 34 -2 -5.88% \$211,000 \$240,000 -12.08% 98 104 -5.77% 11 6 83 CHARLESTOWN 70 57 13 22.81% \$275,000 \$302,500 -9.09% 151 181 -16.57% 12 11 9 WESTERLY 101 86 15 17.44% \$285,000 \$242,500 17.53% 133 133 0.00% 16 19 -10 SOUTH KINGSTOWN 127 118 9 7.63% \$300,000 \$297,500 0.84% 136 110 23.64% 11 12 -8. NARRAGANSETT 110	SCITUATE	39	28	11	39.29%	\$176,000	\$253,500	-30.57%	64	94	-31.91%	15	6	150.00%
HOPKINTON 31 23 8 34.78% \$193,000 \$224,900 17.84% 91 668 33.82% 12 66 17.84% RICHMOND 32 34 -2 5.88% \$211,000 \$220,000 -12.08% 98 104 5.77% 11 66 83 CHARLESTOWN 70 57 13 22.81% \$275,000 \$302,500 9.09% 151 181 -16.57% 12 11 66 98 WESTERLY 101 86 15 17.44% \$285,000 \$242,500 17.53% 133 133 0.00% 16 19 -15 BLOCK ISLAND 7 118 9 7.63% \$30,000 \$297,500 0.84% 136 110 23.64% 111 12 48 NARRAGANSETT 110 63 47 74.60% \$357,500 \$375,000 -4.67% 129 11.38 9.24% 6.6 7.0 14 NOR	SOUTH COUNTY													
RICHMOND 32 34 -2 -5.88% \$211,000 5240,000 -12.08% 98 104 -5.77% 11 66 83 CHARLESTOWN 70 57 13 22.81% \$275,000 \$302,500 -9.99% 151 181 -16.57% 12 11 9 WESTERLY 101 86 15 17.44% \$285,000 \$242,500 17.53% 133 133 0.00% 16 19 -15 BLOCK ISLAND 7 1 6 600.00% \$1,448,000 \$950,000 52.42% 270 731 -63.06% 0.0 1 -00 SOUTH KINGSTOWN 127 118 9 7.63% \$300,000 \$297,500 0.84% 136 110 23.64% 111 12 48 NARRAGANSETT 110 63 47 74.60% \$357,500 \$375,000 -6.67% 98 97 1.03% 27 20 35 NORTH KINGSTOWN 116 100 16 16.00% \$255,000 \$270,000 -5.56% <td< td=""><td>EXETER</td><td>26</td><td>24</td><td>2</td><td>8.33%</td><td>\$266,000</td><td>\$321,445</td><td>-17.25%</td><td>102</td><td>85</td><td>20.00%</td><td>5</td><td>1</td><td>400.00%</td></td<>	EXETER	26	24	2	8.33%	\$266,000	\$321,445	-17.25%	102	85	20.00%	5	1	400.00%
CHARLESTOWN 70 57 13 22.81% \$275,000 \$302,500 9.99% 151 181 -16.57% 12 11 9 WESTERLY 101 86 15 17.44% \$285,000 \$242,500 17.53% 133 133 0.00% 16 19 -15 BLOCK ISLAND 7 1 6 600.00% \$1,448,000 \$950,000 52.42% 270 731 -63.06% 0 1 -10 SOUTH KINGSTOWN 127 118 9 7.63% \$300,000 \$297,500 0.84% 136 110 23.64% 111 12 .8 NARRAGANSETT 110 63 47 74.60% \$357,500 \$375,000 -4.67% 129 118 9.32% 6 7 .4 NORTH KINGSTOWN 116 100 16 16.00% \$255,000 \$270,000 -5.56% 98 97 1.03% 26 7 .4 NORTH KINGSTOWN<	HOPKINTON	31	23	8	34.78%	\$193,000	\$234,900	-17.84%	91	68	33.82%	12	6	100.00%
WESTERLY 101 86 15 17.44 5285,000 5242,500 17.33 133 0.00% 16 19 15 BLOCK ISLAND 7 1 6 600.00% \$1,448,000 \$950,000 52.42% 270 731 -63.06% 0.0 1 -100 SOUTH KINGSTOWN 127 118 9 7.63% 5300,000 5297,500 0.84% 136 110 23.64% 111 12 4.8 NARRAGANSETT 110 63 47 74.60% 5357,500 57.500 4.67% 129 118 9.32% 6 7 4.4 NORTH KINGSTOWN 116 100 16 16.00% 525,000 527,000 4.56% 98 97 1.03% 27 20 35 KENT COUNTY 116 100 16 16.00% 5375,000 544,250 -15.5% 99 138 -28.26% 5 6 -16 WEST GREENWICH 85	RICHMOND	32	34	-2	-5.88%	\$211,000	\$240,000	-12.08%	98	104	-5.77%	11	6	83.33%
BLOCK ISLAND 7 1 6 600.00% \$1.448,000 \$950,000 52.42% 270 731 -63.06% 0 1 100 SOUTH KINGSTOWN 127 118 9 7.63% \$300,000 \$297,500 0.84% 136 110 23.64% 111 12 4.8 NARRAGANSETT 110 63 47 74.60% \$357,500 \$375,000 -4.67% 129 118 9.32% 6 7 -14 NORTH KINGSTOWN 116 100 16 16.00% \$255,000 \$270,000 -5.56% 98 97 1.03% 270 200 35 KENT COUNTY 116 100 16 16.00% \$375,000 \$444,250 -15.59% 99 138 -28.26% 5 6 -16 WEST WARWICK 97 78 19 24.36% \$132,500 \$156,500 -15.34% 79 77 2.60% 157 157 0.0 COVE	CHARLESTOWN	70	57	13	22.81%	\$275,000	\$302,500	-9.09%	151	181	-16.57%	12	11	9.09%
BLOCK ISLAND 7 1 6 600.00% \$1,448,000 \$950,000 52.42% 270 731 -63.06% 0 1 -100 SOUTH KINGSTOWN 127 118 9 7.63% \$300,000 \$297,500 0.84% 136 110 23.64% 111 12 48. NARRAGANSETT 110 63 47 74.60% \$357,500 \$375,000 -4.67% 129 118 9.32% 6 7 14 NORTH KINGSTOWN 116 100 16 16.00% \$255,000 \$270,000 -5.56% 98 97 1.03% 27 200 35 NORTH KINGSTOWN 116 100 16 \$255,000 \$270,000 -5.56% 98 97 1.03% 27 200 35 KENT COUNTY 1 100 16 16.00% \$375,000 \$444,250 .15.59% 99 138 -28.26% 5 6 -16 WEST WARWICK 97 78 19 24.36% \$132,500 \$515,500 -15.34% 79	WESTERLY	101	86	15	17.44%	\$285,000		17.53%	133	133	0.00%	16	19	-15.79%
SOUTH KINGSTOWN 127 118 9 7.63% \$300,000 \$297,500 0.84% 136 110 23.64% 11 12 -8. NARRAGANSETT 110 63 47 74.60% \$357,500 \$375,000 -4.67% 129 118 9.32% 6 7 -14 NORTH KINGSTOWN 116 100 16 16.00% \$255,000 \$270,000 -5.56% 98 97 1.03% 27 20 35 KENT COUNTY 116 10.0 16 16.00% \$275,000 \$444,250 -15.59% 99 138 -28.26% 5 6 -16 KENT COUNTY 15 21.43% \$375,000 \$444,250 -15.59% 99 138 -28.26% 5 6 -16 WEST WARWICK 97 78 19 24.36% \$132,500 \$156,500 -15.34% 79 77 2.60% 157 157 0.0 COVENTRY 191	BLOCK ISLAND	7	1	6	600.00%	\$1,448,000	\$950,000	52.42%	270	731	-63.06%	0	1	-100.00%
NARRAGANSETT 110 63 47 74.60% \$357,500 \$375,000 -4.67% 129 118 9.32% 6 7 -14 NORTH KINGSTOWN 116 100 16 16.00% \$255,000 \$270,000 -5.56% 98 97 1.03% 277 200 35. KENT COUNTY 1 6 7 15 21.43% \$375,000 \$444,250 -15.59% 99 138 -28.26% 55 6 -14 KENT COUNTY 85 70 15 21.43% \$375,000 \$444,250 -15.59% 99 138 -28.26% 5 6 -16 WEST WARWICK 97 78 19 24.36% \$132,500 \$156,500 -15.34% 79 77 2.60% 433 27 59 WARWICK 97 78 19 24.36% \$132,500 \$156,500 -15.34% 79 77 2.60% 157 157 0.0 COVENTRY 191 146 45 30.82% \$151,500 \$156,250 -3.04% <td>SOUTH KINGSTOWN</td> <td>127</td> <td>118</td> <td>9</td> <td>7.63%</td> <td></td> <td>\$297,500</td> <td>0.84%</td> <td>136</td> <td>110</td> <td>23.64%</td> <td>11</td> <td>12</td> <td>-8.33%</td>	SOUTH KINGSTOWN	127	118	9	7.63%		\$297,500	0.84%	136	110	23.64%	11	12	-8.33%
NORTH KINGSTOWN 116 100 16 16.00% \$255,000 \$270,000 -5.56% 98 97 1.03% 27 20 35. KENT COUNTY Image: Constraint of the state		110												-14.29%
EAST GREENWICH 85 70 15 21.43% \$375,000 \$444,250 -15.59% 99 138 -28.26% 5 6 -16 WEST WARWICK 97 78 19 24.36% \$132,500 \$156,500 -15.34% 79 77 2.60% 433 27 59 WARWICK 442 405 37 9.14% \$143,250 \$156,500 -7.43% 79 77 2.60% 157 157 0.4 COVENTRY 191 146 45 30.82% \$151,500 \$156,250 -3.04% 91 104 -12.50% 65 41 58 WEST GREENWICH 22 14 8 57.14% \$297,000 \$260,000 14.23% 102 143 -28.67% 9 5 80 Information is provided by State-Wide Wutiple Listing Service, Inc. Readers are cautioned that the median sales price with here trices higher and helf lower generally reflects the quality and the sales price with here trices higher and helf lower generally reflects the quality and there trices higher and helf lower generally r	NORTH KINGSTOWN	116	100	16	16.00%	\$255,000	\$270,000	-5.56%	98	97	1.03%	27	20	35.00%
EAST GREENWICH 85 70 15 21.43% \$375,000 \$444,250 -15.59% 99 138 -28.26% 5 6 -16 WEST WARWICK 97 78 19 24.36% \$132,500 \$156,500 -15.34% 79 77 2.60% 43 27 59 WARWICK 442 405 37 9.14% \$143,250 \$154,750 -7.43% 79 77 2.60% 157 157 0.4 COVENTRY 191 146 45 30.82% \$151,500 \$156,250 -3.04% 91 104 -12.50% 65 41 58 WEST GREENWICH 22 14 8 57.14% \$297,000 \$260,000 14.23% 102 143 -28.67% 9 5 80 Information is provided by State-Wide Multiple Listing Service, Inc. Readers are cautioned that the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the sale of the	KENT COUNTY													
WEST WARWICK 97 78 19 24.36% \$132,500 \$156,500 -15.34% 79 77 2.60% 43 27 59 WARWICK 442 405 37 9.14% \$143,250 \$154,750 -7.43% 79 77 2.60% 157 157 0.4 COVENTRY 191 146 45 30.82% \$151,500 \$156,500 -14.23% 91 104 -12.50% 65 41 58 WEST GREENWICH 22 14 8 57.14% \$297,000 \$260,000 14.23% 102 143 -28.67% 9 5 80 Information is provided by State-Wide Multiple Listing Service, Inc. Readers are cautioned that the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the function is provided by State-Wide Multiple Listing Service, Inc. Readers are cautioned that the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the function is provided by State-Wide Multiple Listing Service, Inc. Readers are cautioned that the median sales price with half the prices higher and half low		85	70	15	21.43%	\$375.000	\$444.250	-15.59%	99	138	-28.26%	5	6	-16.67%
WARWICK 442 405 37 9.14% \$143,250 \$154,750 -7.43% 79 77 2.60% 157 157 0.1 COVENTRY 191 146 45 30.82% \$151,500 \$156,250 -3.04% 91 104 -12.50% 65 41 58 WEST GREENWICH 22 14 8 57.14% \$297,000 \$260,000 14.23% 102 143 -28.67% 9 5 80 Information is provided by State-Wide Multiple Listing Service, Inc. Readers are cautioned that the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the function of the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the function of the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the function of the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the function of the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the prices higher														59.26%
COVENTRY 191 146 45 30.82% \$151,500 \$156,250 -3.04% 91 104 -12.50% 65 41 58. WEST GREENWICH 22 14 8 57.14% \$297,000 \$260,000 14.23% 102 143 -28.67% 9 5 80. Information is provided by State-Wide Multiple Listing Service, Inc. Readers are cautioned that the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the median sales price with half lower generally reflects the quality and the median sales price with half lower generally reflects the quality and the median sales price with half lower											-			0.00%
WEST GREENWICH 22 14 8 57.14% \$297,000 \$260,000 14.23% 102 143 -28.67% 9 5 80. Information is provided by State-Wide Multiple Listing Service, Inc. Readers are cautioned that the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality and the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower														58.54%
														80.00%
mix (type and size) of the properties being sold at the time and is not a true measure of home values.								rice with I	half the prices	higher and h	nalf lower	generally refle	ects the quality	y and the
Information deemed reliable but is not guaranteed	, ,	· ·	-	ne time and i	s not a true r	measure of home v	alues.						1	1