November 2009 and 2010 Monthly Comparison Single Family Home Sales

AREA	Sales 2010	Sales 2009	<u>Change</u>	% Change	Median Price 2010	Median Price 2009	Median % Change	Average DOM 2010	Average DOM 2009	DOM % Change	Distressed Properties 2010	Distressed Properties 2009	% Change Distressed Properties
RHODE ISLAND			-230			-				<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
KHODE ISLAND	505	735	-230	-31.29%	\$209,000	\$205,000	1.95%	98	87	12.64%	117	170	-31.18%
NEWPORT COUNTY													
TIVERTON	11	12	-1	-8.33%	\$245,000	\$284,000	-13.73%	143	207	-30.92%	4	2	100.00%
LITTLE COMPTON	4	3	1	33.33%	\$305,000	\$2,050,000	-85.12%	333	89	274.16%	2	0	100.00%
PORTSMOUTH	11	9	2	22.22%	\$477,300	\$339,000	40.80%	149	153	-2.61%	4	1	300.00%
MIDDLETOWN	7	9	-2	-22.22%	\$360,000	\$315,000	14.29%	101	134	-24.63%	1	2	-50.00%
NEWPORT	9	12	-3	-25.00%	\$575,000	\$347,500	65.47%	130	184	-24.03%	0	0	-30.00%
JAMESTOWN	4	10	-6	-60.00%	\$527,500	\$882,500	-40.23%	175	128	36.72%	0	1	-100.00%
JAMESTOWN	7	10	-0	-00.0076	\$327,300	3882,300	-40.23/6	1/3	120	30.72/6	U	1	-100.00%
METRO & EAST BAY													
BARRINGTON	9	12	-3	-25.00%	\$545,000	\$268,000	103.36%	166	100	66.00%	0	3	-100.00%
WARREN	3	7	-3 -4	-57.14%	\$165,000	\$197,500	-16.46%	90	107	-15.89%	2	2	0.00%
BRISTOL	8	9	-4	-11.11%		\$197,300	-8.49%	122	81	50.62%	0	2	-100.00%
EAST PROVIDENCE	21	29			\$254,750	' '						4	
PROVIDENCE	29	49	-8 -20	-27.59% -40.82%	\$195,000 \$85,000	\$215,000 \$120,000	-9.30% -29.17%	84 55	66 74	27.27% -25.68%	13	20	-35.00%
EAST SIDE of Prov	6	13	-20 -7	-40.82%	\$85,000	\$120,000	20.63%	100	55	81.82%	2	0	-33.00%
NORTH PROVIDENCE	12	26	-14	-53.85%	\$170,850	\$472,500	-2.09%	58	71	-18.31%	5	8	-37.50%
JOHNSTON	18	29		-37.93%					99		4	7	
	38		-11		\$171,000	\$181,000	-5.52%	79		-20.20%			-42.86%
CRANSTON	38	81	-43	-53.09%	\$172,629	\$170,000	1.55%	62	66	-6.06%	11	26	-57.69%
NORTH													
NORTH	6	4.4	•	57.4.40/	4255 500	4225.000	10.110/	60	440	45 450/	-		22 222/
LINCOLN	6	14	-8	-57.14%	\$266,500	\$225,000	18.44%	60	110	-45.45%	2	3	-33.33%
CUMBERLAND	16	23	-7	-30.43%	\$204,000	\$234,000	-12.82%	83	69	20.29%	5	3	66.67%
WOONSOCKET	10	15	-5	-33.33%	\$153,950	\$162,000	-4.97%	158	100	58.00%	3	5	-40.00%
PAWTUCKET	22	35	-13	-37.14%	\$152,450	\$154,900	-1.58%	109	70	55.71%	8	9	-11.11%
CENTRAL FALLS	2	2	0	0.00%	\$98,000	\$105,500	-7.11%	60	143	-58.04%	1	0	-
NORTH SMITHFIELD	4	10	-6	-60.00%	\$252,750	\$252,500	0.10%	67	145	-53.79%	2	3	-33.33%
SMITHFIELD	18	13	5	38.46%	\$232,450	\$225,000	3.31%	52	95	-45.26%	1	1	0.00%
BURRILLVILLE	9	13	-4	-30.77%	\$191,000	\$220,000	-13.18%	104	62	67.74%	3	3	0.00%
GLOCESTER	3	9	-6	-66.67%	\$247,000	\$241,500	2.28%	110	34	223.53%	0	3	-100.00%
FOSTER	1	0	1	0.00%	\$195,000	-	0.00%	50	-	0.00%	1	0	-
SCITUATE	7	5	2	40.00%	\$235,000	\$442,000	-46.83%	58	127	-54.33%	1	0	-
SOUTH COUNTY			_			4					_	_	
EXETER	9	1	8	800.00%	\$326,000	\$443,000	-26.41%	112	101	10.89%	0	0	-
HOPKINTON	2	8	-6	-75.00%	\$228,000	\$269,700	-15.46%	11	135	-91.85%	1	0	-
RICHMOND	6	5	1	20.00%	\$234,900	\$310,000	-24.23%	92	245	-62.45%	2	0	-
CHARLESTOWN	9	8	1	12.50%	\$292,500	\$325,000	-10.00%	149	102	46.08%	0	2	-100.00%
WESTERLY	19	19	0	0.00%	\$370,000	\$255,000	45.10%	142	97	46.39%	3	4	-25.00%
BLOCK ISLAND	0	0	0	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	0	0	-
SOUTH KINGSTOWN	22	18	4	22.22%	\$297,500	\$283,750	4.85%	122	70	74.29%	4	1	300.00%
NARRAGANSETT	23	18	5	27.78%	\$357,000	\$355,250	0.49%	104	132	-21.21%	3	3	0.00%
NORTH KINGSTOWN	21	26	-5	-19.23%	\$279,000	\$327,500	-14.81%	121	88	37.50%	2	3	-33.33%
KENT COUNTY	_			_		4-		-					
EAST GREENWICH	4	10	-6	-60.00%	\$425,750	\$520,600	-18.22%	61	148	-58.78%	0	2	-100.00%
WEST WARWICK	15	27	-12	-44.44%	\$129,000	\$177,500	-27.32%	69	62	11.29%	8	5	60.00%
WARWICK	59	99	-40	-40.40%	\$175,499	\$185,000	-5.14%	84	53	58.49%	12	32	-62.50%
COVENTRY	25	41	-16	-39.02%	\$159,000	\$165,000	-3.64%	114	109	4.59%	2	10	-80.00%
WEST GREENWICH	3	6	-3	-50.00%	\$232,000	\$264,950	-12.44%	54	42	28.57%	1	0	-
Information is more than the	Ctota \A''-1	N Audtiolo 1 '- '	on Comide - 1	Dani'	are continued that	ho modian salaa	rion	nolf the area's	high	olf laws:	gonorelli e	oto the aver-11	Lond the
Information is provided by mix (type and size) of the							rice With h	iair the prices	nigner and h	ıaıı lower	generally refle	cts the quality	and the
, , ,					1				I				
Information deemed reliab	DIE DUT IS NOT	guaranteed											