June 2016 and 2015 Comparison Single Family Home Sales

AREA	Sales 2016	Sales 2015	Change	% Change	Median Price 2016	Median Price 2015	Median % Change	Average DOM 2016	Average DOM 2015	DOM % Change	Distressed Properties 2016	Distressed Properties 2015	% Change Distressed Properties
RHODE ISLAND							3.92%			-2.82%		<u> </u>	
KHODE ISLAND	1122	1104	18	1.63%	\$245,000	\$235,750	3.92%	69	71	-2.82%	106	112	-5.36%
NEWPORT COUNTY													
TIVERTON	24	19	5	26.32%	\$225,000	\$230,000	-2.17%	71	74	-4.05%	2	4	-50.00%
LITTLE COMPTON	1	8	-7	-87.50%	\$570,000	\$740,000	-2.17%	66	161	-59.01%	0	0	-30.00%
PORTSMOUTH	25	31	-6	-19.35%	\$425,000	\$340,000	25.00%	106	126	-15.87%	1	2	-50.00%
MIDDLETOWN	20	16	4	25.00%	\$322,500	\$340,000	3.04%	87	102	-13.87%	1	2	-50.00%
NEWPORT	20	21	-1	-4.76%	\$448,500	\$395,000	13.54%	89	117	-23.93%	0	0	-30.00%
JAMESTOWN	8	11	-3	-27.27%	\$481,875	\$440,000	9.52%	128	108	18.52%	0	0	_
JAMESTOWN	0	11	-3	-27.27/6	3461,873	3440,000	9.32/6	128	108	16.5276	0	0	-
METRO & EAST BAY													
BARRINGTON	32	32	0	0.00%	\$521,250	\$349,950	48.95%	109	67	62.69%	1	0	
WARREN	8	8	0	0.00%	\$321,230	\$207,450	31.36%	112	72	55.56%	0	2	-100.00%
BRISTOL	22	17	5	29.41%	\$272,500	\$320,000	-12.97%	77	68	13.24%	2	0	-100.00%
EAST PROVIDENCE	58	53	5	9.43%			8.52%	58	70	-17.14%	7	8	-12.50%
PROVIDENCE PROVIDENCE	56	44	12	9.43%	\$205,000 \$160,000	\$188,900 \$155,000	3.23%	72	65	10.77%	9	5	80.00%
EAST SIDE of Prov	32	29	3	10.34%	\$160,000	\$155,000	41.81%	38	67	-43.28%	1	2	-50.00%
NORTH PROVIDENCE	35	36	-1	-2.78%	\$210,000	\$400,000	15.07%	44	61	-43.28%	5	5	0.00%
JOHNSTON	29	36	-1 -7	-2.78% -19.44%	\$210,000	\$182,500	5.49%	53	88	-27.87%	6	5	20.00%
CRANSTON	101												
CRANSTON	101	88	13	14.77%	\$204,000	\$197,400	3.34%	57	59	-3.39%	13	7	85.71%
NORTH													
NORTH LINCOLN	18	20	10	25.740/	6244.075	6241.750	44 420/	F0	40	20.020/	0	4	100.000/
	47	28	-10	-35.71%	\$341,875	\$241,750	41.42%	58	48	20.83%	0	4	-100.00%
CUMBERLAND		35	12	34.29%	\$299,900	\$270,000	11.07%	46	48	-4.17%	1	1	0.00%
WOONSOCKET	22 48	19	3	15.79%	\$156,000	\$132,000	18.18%	52	80	-35.00%	5	7	-28.57%
PAWTUCKET		46	2	4.35%	\$170,000	\$167,450	1.52%	50	56	-10.71%	8	5	60.00%
CENTRAL FALLS	1	0	1	0.00%	\$154,000	-	0.00%	36	-	0.00%	0	0	-
NORTH SMITHFIELD	17	15	2	13.33%	\$300,000	\$235,000	27.66%	46	58	-20.69%	1	2	-50.00%
SMITHFIELD	15	17	-2	-11.76%	\$257,000	\$303,000	-15.18%	45	66	-31.82%	0	2	-100.00%
BURRILLVILLE	9	15	-6	-40.00%	\$195,000	\$224,000	-12.95%	86	47	82.98%	1	1	0.00%
GLOCESTER	14	19	-5	-26.32%	\$237,500	\$259,900	-8.62%	64	51	25.49%	1	2	-50.00%
FOSTER	3	3	0	0.00%	\$290,000	\$305,000	-4.92%	57	32	78.13%	0	1	-100.00%
SCITUATE	9	8	1	12.50%	\$225,000	\$286,000	-21.33%	32	88	-63.64%	0	0	-
COUTU COUNTY													
SOUTH COUNTY	10	44		0.000/	4202 500	4202.000	2.500/	00		20 700/			0.000/
EXETER	10	11	-1	-9.09%	\$302,500	\$292,000	3.60%	93	77	20.78%	1	1	0.00%
HOPKINTON	17	14	3	21.43%	\$282,500	\$211,250	33.73%	87	117	-25.64%	0	2	-100.00%
RICHMOND	11	14	-3	-21.43%	\$275,000	\$261,750	5.06%	51	72	-29.17%	0	1	-100.00%
CHARLESTOWN	15	16	-1	-6.25%	\$340,000	\$337,000	0.89%	101	75	34.67%	0	0	100.000
WESTERLY	21	20	1	5.00%	\$277,500	\$264,900	4.76%	102	59	72.88%	0	2	-100.00%
BLOCK ISLAND	22	1	0	0.00%	\$870,000	\$1,025,000	-15.12%	203	201	1.00%	0	0	
SOUTH KINGSTOWN	32	41	-9	-21.95%	\$382,000	\$328,000	16.46%	90	126	-28.57%	2	5	-60.00%
NARRAGANSETT	26	28	-2	-7.14%	\$425,000	\$381,000	11.55%	114	91	25.27%	2	0	-
NORTH KINGSTOWN	46	47	-1	-2.13%	\$376,750	\$335,000	12.46%	83	66	25.76%	3	4	-25.00%
KENT COUNTY													
KENT COUNTY	27	4.5		0.755	Ć420.000	ĆE4E 000	40.4557	0.7	F0	44.070	_	~	E0 000'
EAST GREENWICH	37	41	-4	-9.76%	\$420,000	\$515,000	-18.45%	85	59	44.07%	3	2	50.00%
WEST WARWICK	21	24	-3	-12.50%	\$179,000	\$184,500	-2.98%	49	56	-12.50%	4	4	0.00%
WARWICK	143	140	3	2.14%	\$209,000	\$180,150	16.01%	69	52	32.69%	16	18	-11.11%
COVENTRY	56	44	12	27.27%	\$220,750	\$213,500	3.40%	66	79	-16.46%	8	5	60.00%
WEST GREENWICH	12	9	3	33.33%	\$338,450	\$329,900	2.59%	47	96	-51.04%	2	1	100.00%
Information is provided by	State Mide	Multiple Lietin	na Sandas III	no Pondora	are continued that t	he modian aclas =	rico with t	half the price	higher and !	alf lower	generally refle	ote the avalit	and the
mix (type and size) of the							nce With I	iaii trie prices	s niigner and f	ıaıı iuwer	generally refle	ots trie quality	and the
, , ,				<u> </u>	Т								
Information deemed reliab	DIE DUT IS NOT	guaranteed											