4th Quarter 2018 and 2017 Comparison Condominium Home Sales

AREASRHODE ISLANDINEWPORT COUNTYITIVERTONIUITTLE COMPTONIPORTSMOUTHIMIDDLETOWNINEWPORTIJAMESTOWNIBARRINGTONIWARRENIBRISTOLIEAST PROVIDENCEIPROVIDENCEIANT SIDE OF ProvINORTH PROVIDENCEIJOHNSTONI	Sales 2018 580 580 8 0 13 9 32 0 7 12 8 57 39 59 59	Sales 2017 563 14 0 13 9 34 4 11 3 16 12 44	<u>Change</u> 17 -6 0 0 0 -2 -4 -1 4 -1	% Change 3.02% -42.86% 0.00% 0.00% -5.88% -100.00%	xieuan Price 2016 \$215,000 - \$282,500 - \$489,900 \$419,900 \$337,450 -	Median Price 2017 \$215,000 \$317,500 - \$365,000 \$318,000 \$280,000 \$514,900	Change 0.00% -11.02% 0.00% 34.22% 32.04% 20.52%	DOM 2018 58 149 - 74 24	DOM 2017 72 79 - 213 60	Change -19.44% 88.61% 0.00% -65.26%	2018 12 0 0 0	2017 18 0 0	<u>Propertie</u> -33.33%
TIVERTON TIVERTON LITTLE COMPTON PORTSMOUTH MIDDLETOWN NEWPORT JAMESTOWN METRO & EAST BAY BARRINGTON WARREN BRISTOL EAST PROVIDENCE EAST SIDE of Prov NORTH PROVIDENCE	0 13 9 32 0 0 7 12 8 57 39 59	0 13 9 34 4 1 3 16 12	0 0 -2 -4 -1 4	0.00% 0.00% -5.88% -100.00% -100.00%	\$489,900 \$419,900 \$337,450	\$365,000 \$318,000 \$280,000	0.00% 34.22% 32.04%	- 74	- 213	0.00% -65.26%	0	-	
TIVERTON TIVERTON LITTLE COMPTON PORTSMOUTH MIDDLETOWN NEWPORT JAMESTOWN METRO & EAST BAY BARRINGTON WARREN BRISTOL EAST PROVIDENCE EAST SIDE of Prov NORTH PROVIDENCE	0 13 9 32 0 0 7 12 8 57 39 59	0 13 9 34 4 1 3 16 12	0 0 -2 -4 -1 4	0.00% 0.00% -5.88% -100.00% -100.00%	\$489,900 \$419,900 \$337,450	\$365,000 \$318,000 \$280,000	0.00% 34.22% 32.04%	- 74	- 213	0.00% -65.26%	0	-	
LITTLE COMPTON PORTSMOUTH MIDDLETOWN NEWPORT JAMESTOWN METRO & EAST BAY BARRINGTON WARREN BRISTOL EAST PROVIDENCE PROVIDENCE EAST SIDE of Prov NORTH PROVIDENCE	0 13 9 32 0 0 7 12 8 57 39 59	0 13 9 34 4 1 3 16 12	0 0 -2 -4 -1 4	0.00% 0.00% -5.88% -100.00% -100.00%	\$489,900 \$419,900 \$337,450	\$365,000 \$318,000 \$280,000	0.00% 34.22% 32.04%	- 74	- 213	0.00% -65.26%	0	-	
PORTSMOUTH MIDDLETOWN NEWPORT JAMESTOWN METRO & EAST BAY BARRINGTON WARREN BRISTOL EAST PROVIDENCE PROVIDENCE EAST SIDE of Prov NORTH PROVIDENCE	13 9 32 0 	13 9 34 4 1 3 16 12	0 0 -2 -4 -1 4	0.00% 0.00% -5.88% -100.00%	\$419,900 \$337,450	\$318,000 \$280,000	34.22% 32.04%	74	213	-65.26%	-	0	-
MIDDLETOWN NEWPORT JAMESTOWN METRO & EAST BAY BARRINGTON WARREN BRISTOL EAST PROVIDENCE PROVIDENCE EAST SIDE of Prov NORTH PROVIDENCE	9 32 0 7 12 8 57 39 59	9 34 4 1 3 16 12	0 -2 -4 -1 4	0.00% -5.88% -100.00% -100.00%	\$419,900 \$337,450	\$318,000 \$280,000	32.04%					0	_
NEWPORT JAMESTOWN METRO & EAST BAY BARRINGTON WARREN BRISTOL EAST PROVIDENCE PROVIDENCE EAST SIDE of Prov NORTH PROVIDENCE	32 0 0 7 12 8 57 39 59	34 4 1 3 16 12	-2 -4 -1 4	-5.88% -100.00% -100.00%	\$337,450	\$280,000		24	00	-60.00%	0	0	
JAMESTOWN METRO & EAST BAY BARRINGTON WARREN BRISTOL EAST PROVIDENCE PROVIDENCE EAST SIDE of Prov NORTH PROVIDENCE	0 0 7 12 8 57 39 59	4 1 3 16 12	-4 -1 4	-100.00%			20.52%	134	106	26.42%	0	0	
BARRINGTON WARREN BRISTOL EAST PROVIDENCE PROVIDENCE EAST SIDE of Prov NORTH PROVIDENCE	7 12 8 57 39 59	3 16 12	4				0.00%	-	134	0.00%	0	0	-
BARRINGTON WARREN BRISTOL EAST PROVIDENCE PROVIDENCE EAST SIDE of Prov NORTH PROVIDENCE	7 12 8 57 39 59	3 16 12	4										
WARREN BRISTOL EAST PROVIDENCE PROVIDENCE EAST SIDE of Prov NORTH PROVIDENCE	7 12 8 57 39 59	3 16 12	4		-	\$199,000	0.00%	_	50	0.00%	0	0	_
BRISTOL EAST PROVIDENCE PROVIDENCE EAST SIDE of Prov NORTH PROVIDENCE	12 8 57 39 59	16 12		133.33%	\$185,000	\$100,000	-11.90%	34	68	-50.00%	0	0	_
EAST PROVIDENCE PROVIDENCE EAST SIDE of Prov NORTH PROVIDENCE	8 57 39 59	12	-	-25.00%	\$380,000	\$367,500	3.40%	54	102	-45.10%	0	0	
PROVIDENCE EAST SIDE of Prov NORTH PROVIDENCE	57 39 59		-4	-33.33%	\$185,000	\$163,750	12.98%	17	66	-74.24%	0	0	
EAST SIDE of Prov	39 59	44	13	29.55%	\$185,000	\$105,750	12.98%	61	60	1.67%	2	3	-33.33%
NORTH PROVIDENCE	59	37	2	5.41%	\$255,000	\$190,730	2.41%	64	51	25.49%	0	0	
		44	15	34.09%	\$255,000	\$249,000	-6.46%	46	45	23.49%	0	2	-100.00%
		6	11	183.33%	\$132,000	\$102,500	3.15%	30	43	-26.83%	0	0	-100.007
CRANSTON	17 16	29											
CRANSTON	10	29	-13	-44.83%	\$178,500	\$165,000	8.18%	45	68	-33.82%	0	0	-
NORTH													
LINCOLN	12	20	-8	-40.00%	\$180,675	\$143,250	26.13%	51	88	-42.05%	0	2	-100.009
CUMBERLAND	19	30	-11	-36.67%	\$225,000	\$251,450	-10.52%	46	58	-20.69%	0	0	-
WOONSOCKET	13	11	2	18.18%	\$145,000	\$110,000	31.82%	41	139	-70.50%	0	1	-100.009
PAWTUCKET	14	11	3	27.27%	\$166,500	\$139,000	19.78%	33	67	-50.75%	1	3	-66.67%
CENTRAL FALLS	1	0	1	0.00%	\$147,000	-	0.00%	113	-	0.00%	0	0	-
NORTH SMITHFIELD	13	10	3	30.00%	\$289,900	\$294,413	-1.53%	70	73	-4.11%	0	0	-
SMITHFIELD	24	21	3	14.29%	\$215,075	\$225,000	-4.41%	29	47	-38.30%	1	0	-
BURRILLVILLE	4	5	-1	-20.00%	\$138,750	\$110,400	25.68%	41	168	-75.60%	1	2	-50.00%
GLOCESTER	2	4	-2	-50.00%	\$300,000	\$285,000	5.26%	0	205	-100.00%	0	0	-
FOSTER	0	0	0	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	0	0	-
SCITUATE	0	0	0	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	0	0	-
SOUTH COUNTY													
EXETER	1	1	0	0.00%	\$375,000	\$399,000	-6.02%	48	53	-9.43%	0	0	-
HOPKINTON	4	4	0	0.00%	\$217,500	\$304,000	-28.45%	44	137	-67.88%	0	0	-
RICHMOND	5	6	-1	-16.67%	\$252,200	\$239,150	5.46%	125	144	-13.19%	0	0	-
CHARLESTOWN	9	8	1	12.50%	\$155,000	\$179,900	-13.84%	29	72	-59.72%	1	0	-
WESTERLY	23	15	8	53.33%	\$175,733	\$242,000	-27.38%	56	85	-34.12%	0	2	-100.00%
BLOCK ISLAND	1	1	0	0.00%	\$155,000	\$255,000	-39.22%	736	11	6590.91%	1	0	-
SOUTH KINGSTOWN	26	15	11	73.33%	\$430,265	\$430,000	0.06%	49	61	-19.67%	0	0	-
NARRAGANSETT	17	11	6	54.55%	\$485,000	\$339,000	43.07%	69	120	-42.50%	0	0	-
NORTH KINGSTOWN	18	33	-15	-45.45%	\$349,950	\$211,300	65.62%	52	41	26.83%	1	0	-
KENT COUNTY													
EAST GREENWICH	11	7	4	57.14%	\$439,000	\$333,000	31.83%	43	68	-36.76%	0	0	-
WEST WARWICK	29	25	4	16.00%	\$155,000	\$139,000	11.51%	47	61	-22.95%	2	1	100.00%
WARWICK	46	36	10	27.78%	\$165,000	\$137,500	20.00%	62	55	12.73%	2	2	0.00%
COVENTRY	8	8	0	0.00%	\$168,950	\$152,750	10.61%	39	59	-33.90%	0	0	-
WEST GREENWICH	3	15	-12	-80.00%	\$319,500	\$305,000	4.75%	19	17	11.76%	0	0	-
Information is provided by S	State-Wide M	Multiple Listin	ng Service, li	nc. Readers	are cautioned that t	the median sales p	rice with h	alf the prices	higher and h	alf lower	generally refle	cts the quality	/ and the
nix (type and size) of the pr									0		J		