First Quarter 2012 and 2011 Comparison Single Family Home Sales

PHPCPE SLAND 12:00 12:21 2:09 21:05% 3172:250 3195:000 -11:08 108 109 -0025 509 306 NEMPORT COUNTY -		<u>Sales 2012</u>	<u>Sales 2011</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>	Median Price 2012		<u>Median %</u> <u>Change</u>	Average	Average	DOM % Change	Distressed Properties 2012	Distressed Properties 2011	<u>% Change</u> Distressed Properties
HERPORT COUNTY Image: Stand Stan							Median Price 2011		DOM 2012	<u>DOM 2011</u>				
TIVEHT OM 20 25 4 230H 51H 220 292000 1112 277 220 81005 0 3 LITLE COMPTON 7 6 1 16004 5912000 142895 414 220 81005 7 3 MICDLE INVIN 41 11 10 903H 5312000 542000 5257 13 100 124 5003K 7 3 MICDLE INVIN 42 13 1000H 5352000 5357 51 124 5600K 4 1 MARESTON 10 13 30 1 1000H 547 124 5600K 4 1 MARESTON 30 9 1 11.13 515000 5374.00 6706K 110 102 27.5K 3 2 MARESTON 30 9 1 11.13 515000 5374.00 67000H 110 410 27.5K 33 2 2	RHODE ISLAND	1500	1231	269	21.85%	\$173,250	\$195,000	-11.15%	108	109	-0.92%	508	386	31.61%
TIPEHTON 26 26 4.328K 918-220 929-300 -1118 277 220 2110F 0 3 UTILE COMPTON 7 6 1 260/K 532208 542988 446 226 81005 7 3 MODELFLOWM 24 11 10 5031K 532208 540,002 -2114 503 124 5600K 5 MODELFLOWM 42 21 10 5001K 523200K 540,002 -2114 500 124 5600K 4 1 MARESTON 11 15 7 2004K 5450,000 332.000 124.40 500,50 4 1 MARENTON 10 9 1 11.113 510,000 5374,000 67745 102 27.55 3 2 MARINATON 32 7 7 5 9.59 510,000 5146,000 10.265 737 110 9.5 771 27 27	NEWPORT COUNTY													
PORTSMOUTH 24 24 0 0.0254 2320.00 232.000 232.000 232.000 232.000 237.00 101 00 90.15 00.15 <		20	26	-6	-23.08%	\$181,250	\$204,000	-11.15%	275	227	21.15%	6	3	100.00%
NNDLETOWN21111093185233.005243.0005243.0005.97.0010111912925.1173.64.006NEMPORT372.572.0005.960.005.985.0005.97.405.97.401.911.23.64.0065.METO 2.6.2.1.2.1.2.1.2.1.2.1.2.1.2.1.2.1.2.1.	LITTLE COMPTON	7	6	1	16.67%	\$372,000	\$427,000	-12.88%	474	250	89.60%	0	0	-
NEMPORF3225728.089335.005335.005.97%12412454.23%65MARESTON1110.00%5440.005389.0012.98%2251.7335.44%11METRO LAST BAYMETRO LAST BAY75303.335.5005272.00-30.37%40<	PORTSMOUTH	24	24	0	0.00%	\$266,500	\$323,000	-17.49%	165	103	60.19%	7	3	133.33%
JAMESTOWN 11 120 1 100% 546.000 5385.000 19.48% 225 173 25.64% 1 1 NETRO & EAST BAC -	MIDDLETOWN	21	11	10	90.91%	\$233,500	\$240,000	-2.71%	103	129	-20.16%	7	3	133.33%
ALTO A LAST MU AB Sec. Fac.	NEWPORT	32	25	7	28.00%	\$355,000	\$335,000	5.97%	191	124	54.03%	6	5	20.00%
BARRINGTON 33 36 -3 -8.33% \$228,000 5372,200 -30.74% 102 99 1.01% 4 1 MAREN 10 9 1 1111 \$150,000 \$522,000 -33.35% 85 110 -22.75% 6 6 EAST REVOUPENCE 57 52 5 9.87% \$124,000 10.20% 124 102 5.75% 62 6 6 EAST REVOUPENCE 58 7.2 12 2.45% \$342,000 515,000 -9.75% 111 105 5.71% 22 20 16 ONRTH PROVIDENCE 52 39 13 3333% 516,6200 515,700 4.84% 64 64 110 21.7% 20 16 ONRTH PROVIDENCE 52 39 13 3333% 516,200 512.600 71.4% 90 90 90.00% 45 32 11 ORANTLY 148 140 110 4.47%	JAMESTOWN	11	10	1	10.00%	\$460,000	\$385,000	19.48%	235	173	35.84%	1	1	0.00%
WARREN 10 9 1 11116 5130.000 5225.000 33.3% 85 110 22.7% 3 2 BRISTOL 21 21 0 0.00K \$344,000 \$517,000 11.26K 141 102 21.7% 6 6 6 BRISTOL 21 22 564,000 5155,000 4.7% 111 105 57.7% 22 20 DROVIDENCE 52 9 13 33.3% \$144,000 10.5% 111 118 10.25% 32 20 16 ACMISTON 62 46 16 44.28 \$140,00 3.9% 7.7 7.2 4.17% 44 21 16 CARNETON 18 180.0% \$151,000 513.000 7.14% 90 0.00% 45 32 22 16 14 14 4 20 15 22 16 15 22 16 15 22 16 15 <td>METRO & EAST BAY</td> <td></td>	METRO & EAST BAY													
BRISTOL 21 21 0 0.00% 5345,000 573,001 10.26% 124 102 21.5% 6 6 EAST PROVIDENCE 57 5.3 6,00% 5143,000 5116,000 4.00% 11 105 573% 22 30 EAST PROVIDENCE 88 72 13 2333% 5146,200 515,000 4.05% 71 70 1.43% 47 35 CAST SPECUPENCE 22 39 3333% 5146,200 515,000 4.58% 81 68 3912% 20 16 ORTH PROVIDENCE 22 44 64 16 34,78% 513,000 518,550 17.44% 90 00 0.004 45 32 ORNTH 100 18 18,00K 513,000 5120,000 41,16% 10 4,17% 34 21 15 VOCONSOCKET 28 34 48 310,000 5120,000 22,34% 108 74 <t< td=""><td>BARRINGTON</td><td>33</td><td>36</td><td>-3</td><td>-8.33%</td><td>\$258,000</td><td>\$372,500</td><td>-30.74%</td><td>102</td><td>99</td><td>3.03%</td><td>4</td><td>1</td><td>300.00%</td></t<>	BARRINGTON	33	36	-3	-8.33%	\$258,000	\$372,500	-30.74%	102	99	3.03%	4	1	300.00%
EAST PROVIDENCE 57 52 5 9.42% 5149,000 5165,000 9.70% 111 105 5.71% 22 20 RROVIDENCE 88 72 16 22.22% 589,500 5150,000 10.50% 71 70 1.43% 47 55 NORTH FROVIDENCE 72 39 13 33.33% 5146,200 5157,000 4.68% 88 68 91.2% 20 16 JORNATION 10 46 16 34.78% 5130,000 5144,000 2.9%% 75 72 4.17% 34 21 SCRANSTON 118 0.01 10.0 18 18.00 2.9%% 75 72 4.17% 34 21 10 RANSTON 71 77 77 30 6.38% 5130,000 32.316 112 98 -3.08% 122 16 UNCOLN 73 74 30 6.319,000 5120,000 8.20% 113	WARREN	10	9	1	11.11%	\$150,000	\$225,000	-33.33%	85	110	-22.73%	3	2	50.00%
PROVIDENCE 88 72 16 22.2% \$89,500 \$100,000 10.56% 71 70 1.43% 47 33 EAST BIC QI PIW 19 21 -2 9.52% \$342,000 455,666 131 118 11.02% 3 2 DORNTH PROVIDENCE 52 46 16 34,786 \$136,000 5136,000 2.99% 75 72 4.17% 34 21 ORANSTON 118 100 18 18,006 \$135,500 \$136,000 2.99% 75 72 4.17% 34 21 15 NORTH 100 18 18,006 \$125,000 4.17,45% 90 90 0.00% 65 322 UNCCLN 22 10 45,45% \$230,000 \$13,300 2.33% 110 41.49% 12 16 UNCRLSCRAD 77 84 11 46 3.13,35% \$230,000 \$233% 91 93 2.15% 22 <td>BRISTOL</td> <td>21</td> <td>21</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.00%</td> <td>\$245,000</td> <td>\$273,000</td> <td>-10.26%</td> <td>124</td> <td>102</td> <td>21.57%</td> <td>6</td> <td>6</td> <td>0.00%</td>	BRISTOL	21	21	0	0.00%	\$245,000	\$273,000	-10.26%	124	102	21.57%	6	6	0.00%
EAST SIDE of Prov 19 21 -2 9.52% \$342,000 \$405,000 -15.566 131 11.8 11.02% 3 2 NORTH PROVIDENCE 57 38 13 31.31% \$146,200 \$117,000 -6.88% 41 68 19.12% 20 16 OUNNSTON 118 100 18 34.080% \$133,000 324,000 -2.99% 75 72 4.71% 54 22 CRANSTON 118 100 18 18.00% \$133,000 \$314,000 -7.18% 101 110 #1.81% 11 4 UNCOLN 32 22 10 45.45% \$200,045 \$210,000 -7.18% 101 110 #1.81% 11 4 UNCONDCET 25 31 -6 +19.37% \$110,000 \$12.000 -8.05% 91 93 -2.15% 62 2 NORTH SMITHFIELD 19 16 3 18.75% \$22.2.000 \$2.1	EAST PROVIDENCE	57	52	5	9.62%	\$149,000	\$165,000	-9.70%	111	105	5.71%	22	20	10.00%
NORTH PROVIDENCE 52 39 13 31.33% 5144,200 517,000 6.88% 81 68 91.2% 20 16 DORNASTON 62 46 16 34.7% \$113,000 \$114,000 2.99% 75 72 4.17% 34 21 CRANSTON 11.8 100 18 180.0% \$133,000 \$141,000 2.99% 75 72 4.17% 34 21 CRANSTON 11.8 100 18 10.0% \$135,000 \$185,900 7.174% 90 90 90 90 90 10.00 11.0 8.18% 11 4 NORTH 77 47 30 63.83% \$19,000 \$13.000 7.18% 101 110 8.18% 12 16 VCONSOCKET 25 31 -4 -4.93.0% \$14,000 \$13.000 8.20% 12 12 14 14.29% 12 12 VCONSOCKET 25	PROVIDENCE	88	72	16	22.22%	\$89,500	\$100,000	-10.50%	71	70	1.43%	47	35	34.29%
DOHNSTON 62 46 16 34.78% \$130,000 \$134,000 -2.99% 75 72 4.17% 34 21 CRANSTON 118 100 18 18.00% \$153,500 \$185,550 +17.45% 90 90 0.00% 45 \$32 NORTH 10 45 \$32 10 45 \$32 113 6 \$333% \$50,000 \$23,000 \$13,000 \$13,000 \$23,000 \$14,000 \$13,000 \$21,000 \$24,000 \$24,000 \$24,000 \$24,000 \$24,000 \$24,000 \$24,000 \$24,000 \$24,000 \$24,000% \$14 \$19,01%	EAST SIDE of Prov	19	21	-2	-9.52%	\$342,000	\$405,000	-15.56%	131	118	11.02%	3	2	50.00%
CRANSTON 118 100 18 18.00% \$133,500 \$185,950 -17.45% 90 90 0.00% 45 32 MORTH - <t< td=""><td>NORTH PROVIDENCE</td><td>52</td><td>39</td><td>13</td><td>33.33%</td><td>\$146,200</td><td>\$157,000</td><td>-6.88%</td><td>81</td><td>68</td><td>19.12%</td><td>20</td><td>16</td><td>25.00%</td></t<>	NORTH PROVIDENCE	52	39	13	33.33%	\$146,200	\$157,000	-6.88%	81	68	19.12%	20	16	25.00%
NORTH Image Image <th< td=""><td>JOHNSTON</td><td>62</td><td>46</td><td>16</td><td>34.78%</td><td>\$130,000</td><td>\$134,000</td><td>-2.99%</td><td>75</td><td>72</td><td>4.17%</td><td>34</td><td>21</td><td>61.90%</td></th<>	JOHNSTON	62	46	16	34.78%	\$130,000	\$134,000	-2.99%	75	72	4.17%	34	21	61.90%
LINCOLIN 32 22 10 45.45% 5200.495 5216.000 7.18% 101 110 8.18% 111 4 CUMBERLAND 77 47 30 63.83% 5195.000 5220.000 -11.86% 95 98 -10.86% 21 15 WOONSOCKET 25 31 -6 -19.35% 5101.000 5120.000 -11.86% 95 98 -10.86% 21 15 WOONSOCKET 25 31 -6 -19.35% 520.000 -512.000 -8.80% 91 93 -2.15% 22 2 CENTRALFALES 4 3 1 33.33% 5523.000 521.700 -2.0% 81 66 27.7% 5 9 BURRILVILLE 19 81.82% 5192.000 517.750 12.31% 98 144 -31.94% 9 7 5 SICTUATE 21 11 10 90.91% 517.000 524.000 -7.34% <t< td=""><td>CRANSTON</td><td>118</td><td>100</td><td>18</td><td>18.00%</td><td>\$153,500</td><td>\$185,950</td><td>-17.45%</td><td>90</td><td>90</td><td>0.00%</td><td>45</td><td>32</td><td>40.63%</td></t<>	CRANSTON	118	100	18	18.00%	\$153,500	\$185,950	-17.45%	90	90	0.00%	45	32	40.63%
CLIMBERLAND 77 47 30 63.33% 519.000 520.000 11.36% 519 10.01 11 WOONSOCKET 25 33 -6 19.35% 510.000 \$130.000 -22.31% 112 98 14.29% 12 16 PAWTUCKET 59 41 18 43.00% \$114.000 \$125.000 -8.0% 91 93 -2.15% 22 2 ORTRAL FALLS 4 3 1 33.33% 552.520 \$2.45% 108 74 45.55% 2 2 ORTH SMITHFIELD 16 20 -4 -20.00% \$215.200 3.62% 113 141 -19.86% 5 6 GLOCESTER 20 11 9 81.22% \$187.450 \$130.000 1.34% 91 152 40.13% 9 7 5 GLOCESTER 11 6 5 83.3% \$26.50.00 \$137.76 100 82 21.5% 3.3	NORTH													
Construction Construction<	LINCOLN	32	22	10	45.45%	\$200,495	\$216,000	-7.18%	101	110	-8.18%	11	4	175.00%
WOONSOCKET 25 31 6 19.35% \$101,000 \$130,000 22.31% 112 98 14.29% 12 16 PAWTUCKET 59 41 18 43.30% \$114,000 \$125,000 -8.80% 91 93 -2.15% 23 21 CENTRAL FALLS 4 3 1 33.33% \$63,250 \$564,900 -2.54% 108 74 45.95% 22 2 NORTH SMITHFIELD 16 20 4 -20.00% \$212,450 \$217,000 -2.10% 84 66 27.27% 66 9 BURRILVILLE 27 18 9 50.00% \$192,900 \$171,750 12.31% 98 144 -31.94% 91 52 40.13% 77 5 GLOCESTER 10 6 53.33% 525000 5324,525 -2.94% 100 82 21.95% 3 3 SCITACOMTY 7 7 7 7 7	CUMBERLAND	77	47	30	63.83%	\$195,000		-11.36%	95	98	-3.06%	21	15	40.00%
PAWTUCKET 59 41 18 43.90% 5114,000 S125,000 8.80% 91 93 2.15% 23 21 CENTRAL FALLS 4 3 1 33.33% 563.250 564,900 -2.54% 108 74 45.95% 22 2 NGRTH SMITHFIELD 16 3 18.75% 5223,000 \$212,450 521,200 3.62% 113 141 -19.86% 5 6 9 SURRILVILLE 27 18 9 50.00% \$192,900 \$171,750 12.31% 98 144 -31.94% 9 7 GLOCESTER 20 11 9 81.82% \$187,450 \$190,000 -1.34% 91 152 40.13% 11 1 SCITUATE 21 11 9.91% \$170,000 \$201,000 29.17% 100 82 21.95% 31 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	WOONSOCKET	25	31	-6	-19.35%			-22.31%		98	14.29%	12	16	-25.00%
NORTH SMITHFIELD 19 16 3 18.75% 5223.000 5215.200 3.62% 113 141 -19.86% 5 6 SMITHFIELD 16 20 -4 -20.00% \$212,450 \$217,000 -2.10% 84 66 27.27% 6 9 BURRILLVILLE 27 18 9 50.00% \$12,200 \$11.700 12.31% 98 144 -31.94% 9 7 5 GOCOESTER 20 11 9 81.82% \$187,450 \$190,000 -1.34% 91 152 -40.13% 7 5 FOSTER 11 10 90.91% \$170,000 \$240,000 -29.17% 62 134 -53.73% 11 1 1 GOUTH COUNTY - - - - - - - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 </td <td>PAWTUCKET</td> <td>59</td> <td>41</td> <td>18</td> <td>43.90%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-8.80%</td> <td>91</td> <td>93</td> <td>-2.15%</td> <td>23</td> <td>21</td> <td>9.52%</td>	PAWTUCKET	59	41	18	43.90%			-8.80%	91	93	-2.15%	23	21	9.52%
SMITHFIELD 16 20 -4 -20.00% S212,450 S217,000 -2.10% 884 66 27.27% 66 9 BURRILLVILLE 27 18 9 50.00% S122,900 S171,750 12.31% 98 1.44 -31.94% 99 7.7 GLOCESTER 20 111 9 81.82% S187,450 S192,000 -1.34% 91 152 -40.13% 70 50 FOSTER 11 6 5 83.33% S265,000 S192,450 37.70% 100 82 21.95% 31 0 7 1 <td< td=""><td>CENTRAL FALLS</td><td>4</td><td>3</td><td>1</td><td>33.33%</td><td>\$63,250</td><td>\$64,900</td><td>-2.54%</td><td>108</td><td>74</td><td>45.95%</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>0.00%</td></td<>	CENTRAL FALLS	4	3	1	33.33%	\$63,250	\$64,900	-2.54%	108	74	45.95%	2	2	0.00%
BURRILLVILLE 27 18 9 50.00% S192.900 S171,750 12.31% 98 144 -31.94% 9 7 GLOCESTER 20 11 9 81.82% S187,450 S190,000 -1.34% 91 152 -40.13% 7 5 5 FOSTER 11 6 5 83.33% S265,000 S192,450 37.70% 100 82 21.95% 3 3 3 SCITUATE 21 11 10 90.91% S170,000 S240,000 -29.17% 62 134 -53.73% 11 1 SOUTH COUNTY T <	NORTH SMITHFIELD	19	16	3	18.75%	\$223,000	\$215,200	3.62%	113	141	-19.86%	5	6	-16.67%
GLOCESTER 20 11 9 81.82% \$187,450 \$190,000 -1.34% 91 152 -40.13% 7 5 FOSTER 11 6 5 83.33% \$265,000 \$192,450 37.70% 100 82 21.95% 3 3 3 SCITUATE 21 11 10 90.91% \$170,000 \$240,000 -29.17% 62 134 -53.73% 11 1 1 SOUTH COUNTY	SMITHFIELD	16	20	-4	-20.00%	\$212,450	\$217,000	-2.10%	84	66	27.27%	6	9	-33.33%
FOSTER 11 6 5 83.33% \$255,000 \$192,450 37.70% 100 82 21.95% 3 3 SCITUATE 21 11 10 90.91% \$170,000 \$240,000 -29.17% 62 134 -53.73% 11 1 SOUTH COUNTY Image: Construct C	BURRILLVILLE	27	18	9	50.00%	\$192,900	\$171,750	12.31%	98	144	-31.94%	9	7	28.57%
SCITUATE 21 11 10 90.91% \$170,000 \$24,000 -29.17% 62 134 -53.73% 11 1 SOUTH COUNTY Image: Construct of the construct	GLOCESTER	20	11	9	81.82%	\$187,450	\$190,000	-1.34%	91	152	-40.13%	7	5	40.00%
Channel Constrain Constrain <thconstrain< th=""> <thco< td=""><td>FOSTER</td><td>11</td><td>6</td><td>5</td><td>83.33%</td><td>\$265,000</td><td>\$192,450</td><td>37.70%</td><td>100</td><td>82</td><td>21.95%</td><td>3</td><td>3</td><td>0.00%</td></thco<></thconstrain<>	FOSTER	11	6	5	83.33%	\$265,000	\$192,450	37.70%	100	82	21.95%	3	3	0.00%
EXETER 11 16 .5 -31.25% \$315,000 \$324,525 -2.94% 125 99 26.26% 2 1 HOPKINTON 15 6 9 150.00% \$193,000 \$262,000 -26.34% 95 118 -19.49% 6 1 1 RICHMOND 13 17 -4 -23.53% \$205,000 \$240,000 -14.58% 100 119 -15.97% 6 4 4 CHARLESTOWN 34 21 13 61.90% \$272,500 \$240,000 13.54% 201 151 33.11% 5 6 4 4 6 1 6 1 </td <td>SCITUATE</td> <td>21</td> <td>11</td> <td>10</td> <td>90.91%</td> <td>\$170,000</td> <td>\$240,000</td> <td>-29.17%</td> <td>62</td> <td>134</td> <td>-53.73%</td> <td>11</td> <td>1</td> <td>1000.00%</td>	SCITUATE	21	11	10	90.91%	\$170,000	\$240,000	-29.17%	62	134	-53.73%	11	1	1000.00%
HOPKINTON 15 6 9 150.00% \$193,000 \$262,000 -26.34% 95 118 -19.49% 6 1 RICHMOND 13 17 4 -23.53% \$205,000 \$240,000 14.58% 100 119 -15.97% 66 4 6 CHARLESTOWN 34 21 13 61.90% \$272,500 \$240,000 13.54% 201 151 33.11% 55 66 4 WESTERLY 40 37 3 8.11% \$282,500 \$255,000 10.78% 122 190 -35.79% 77 77 BLOCK ISLAND 3 1 2 200.00% \$867,500 \$950,000 -8.68% 192 731 -73.73% 00 11 SOUTH KINGSTOWN 50 46 4 8.70% \$332,500 \$312,500 -10.13% 128 13.28% 55 4 2 NARRAGANSETT 43 18 25 138.89% <	SOUTH COUNTY													
RICHMOND 13 17 -4 -23.53% \$205,000 \$240,000 -14.58% 100 119 -15.97% 66 4 CHARLESTOWN 34 21 13 61.90% \$272,500 \$240,000 13.54% 201 151 33.11% 5 66 1 WESTERLY 40 37 3 8.11% \$282,500 \$255,000 10.78% 122 190 -35.79% 7 7 7 7 1 73.73% 00 11 1 2 200.00% \$867,500 \$950,000 -8.68% 192 731 -73.73% 00 1 1 2 200.00% \$362,500 \$312,500 -3.20% 145 128 13.28% 5 4 2 1 1 1 2 5.74% 4 2 1	EXETER	11	16	-5	-31.25%	\$315,000	\$324,525	-2.94%	125	99	26.26%	2	1	100.00%
CHARLESTOWN 34 21 13 61.90% \$272,500 \$240,000 13.54% 201 151 33.11% 5 6 1 WESTERLY 40 37 3 8.11% \$282,500 \$255,000 10.78% 122 190 -35.79% 7 7 7 1 BLOCK ISLAND 3 1 2 200.00% \$867,500 \$950,000 -8.68% 192 731 -73.73% 00 1 1 1 2 200.00% \$867,500 \$950,000 -8.68% 192 731 -73.73% 00 1 1 1 2 200.00% \$302,500 \$312,500 -3.20% 145 128 13.28% 5 4 2 1 <td>HOPKINTON</td> <td>15</td> <td>6</td> <td>9</td> <td>150.00%</td> <td>\$193,000</td> <td>\$262,000</td> <td>-26.34%</td> <td>95</td> <td>118</td> <td>-19.49%</td> <td>6</td> <td>1</td> <td>500.00%</td>	HOPKINTON	15	6	9	150.00%	\$193,000	\$262,000	-26.34%	95	118	-19.49%	6	1	500.00%
CHARLESTOWN 34 21 13 61.90% \$272,500 \$240,000 13.54% 201 151 33.11% 5 6 1 WESTERLY 40 37 3 8.11% \$282,500 \$255,000 10.78% 122 190 -35.79% 7 7 7 1 BLOCK ISLAND 3 1 2 200.00% \$867,500 \$950,000 -8.68% 192 731 -73.73% 00 1 1 1 2 200.00% \$867,500 \$950,000 -8.68% 192 731 -73.73% 00 1 1 1 2 200.00% \$302,500 \$312,500 -3.20% 145 128 13.28% 5 4 2 1 <td>RICHMOND</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-4</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>50.00%</td>	RICHMOND			-4										50.00%
WESTERLY 40 37 3 8.11% \$282,500 \$255,000 10.78% 122 190 -35.79% 7 7 BLOCK ISLAND 3 1 2 200.00% \$867,500 \$950,000 -8.68% 192 731 -73.73% 00 1 SOUTH KINGSTOWN 50 46 4 8.70% \$302,500 \$312,500 -3.20% 145 128 13.28% 5 4 2 NARRAGANSETT 43 18 25 138.89% \$355,000 \$395,000 -10.13% 129 122 5.74% 4 2 13 NORTH KINGSTOWN 49 45 4 8.89% \$250,000 \$235,000 6.38% 102 99 3.03% 12 13 NORTH KINGSTOWN 49 45 4 8.89% \$250,000 \$235,000 6.38% 102 99 3.03% 12 13 KENT COUNTY 10 1 12 14 1	CHARLESTOWN	34	21	13	61.90%			13.54%	201	151	33.11%		6	-16.67%
BLOCK ISLAND 3 1 2 200.00% \$867,500 \$950,000 -8.68% 192 731 -73.73% 0 1 SOUTH KINGSTOWN 50 46 4 8.70% \$302,500 \$312,500 -3.20% 145 128 13.28% 5 4 NARRAGANSETT 43 18 25 138.89% \$355,000 \$395,000 -10.13% 129 122 5.74% 4 2 13 NORTH KINGSTOWN 49 45 4 8.89% \$250,000 \$235,000 6.38% 102 99 3.03% 12 13 NORTH KINGSTOWN 49 45 4 8.89% \$250,000 \$235,000 6.38% 102 99 3.03% 12 13 NORTH KINGSTOWN 49 45 4 8.89% \$250,000 \$235,000 6.38% 102 99 3.03% 12 13 KENT COUNTY	WESTERLY	40	37											0.00%
SOUTH KINGSTOWN 50 46 4 8.70% \$302,500 \$312,500 -3.20% 145 128 13.28% 5 4 NARRAGANSETT 43 18 25 138.89% \$355,000 \$395,000 -10.13% 129 122 5.74% 4 2 NORTH KINGSTOWN 49 45 4 8.89% \$250,000 \$235,000 6.38% 102 99 3.03% 12 13 NORTH KINGSTOWN 49 45 4 8.89% \$250,000 \$235,000 6.38% 102 99 3.03% 12 13 NORTH KINGSTOWN 49 45 4 8.89% \$250,000 \$235,000 6.38% 102 99 3.03% 12 13 NORTH KINGSTOWN 49 45 4 8.89% \$250,000 \$235,000 6.38% 102 99 3.03% 12 13 KENT COUNTY 1 7 6 0 0.00% \$367,500 \$410,000 -10.37% 121 172 -29.65% 1 2 23	BLOCK ISLAND	3	1	2	200.00%	\$867,500	\$950,000	-8.68%	192	731	-73.73%	0	1	-100.00%
NORTH KINGSTOWN 49 45 4 8.89% \$250,000 \$235,000 6.38% 102 99 3.03% 12 13 KENT COUNTY Image: Construct of the state of the	SOUTH KINGSTOWN	50	46	4	8.70%	\$302,500	\$312,500	-3.20%	145	128	13.28%		4	25.00%
NORTH KINGSTOWN 49 45 4 8.89% \$250,000 \$235,000 6.38% 102 99 3.03% 12 13 1 KENT COUNTY Image: Comparison of the	NARRAGANSETT	43	18	25						122			2	100.00%
EAST GREENWICH 26 26 0 0.00% \$367,500 \$410,000 -10.37% 121 172 -29.65% 1 2 WEST WARWICK 44 30 14 46.67% \$137,500 \$163,500 -15.90% 83 90 -7.78% 23 12 WARWICK 185 177 8 4.52% \$140,000 \$149,100 -6.10% 79 87 -9.20% 77 77 COVENTRY 92 62 30 48.39% \$169,350 \$147,000 15.20% 111 123 -9.76% 36 15 WEST GREENWICH 9 5 4 80.00% \$299,000 \$275,000 8.73% 108 230 -53.04% 3 2 WEST GREENWICH 9 5 4 80.00% \$299,000 \$275,000 8.73% 108 230 -53.04% 3 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	NORTH KINGSTOWN	49	45	4	8.89%	\$250,000	\$235,000		102	99	3.03%	12	13	-7.69%
WEST WARWICK 44 30 14 46.67% \$137,500 \$163,500 -15.90% 83 90 -7.78% 23 12 WARWICK 185 177 8 4.52% \$140,000 \$149,100 -6.10% 79 87 -9.20% 77 77 COVENTRY 92 62 30 48.39% \$169,350 \$147,000 15.20% 111 123 -9.76% 36 15 WEST GREENWICH 9 5 4 80.00% \$299,000 \$275,000 8.73% 108 230 -53.04% 3 2	KENT COUNTY													
WARWICK 185 177 8 4.52% \$140,000 \$149,100 -6.10% 79 87 -9.20% 77 77 COVENTRY 92 62 30 48.39% \$169,350 \$147,000 15.20% 111 123 -9.76% 36 15 WEST GREENWICH 9 5 4 80.00% \$299,000 \$275,000 8.73% 108 230 -53.04% 3 2	EAST GREENWICH	26	26	0	0.00%	\$367,500	\$410,000	-10.37%	121	172	-29.65%	1	2	-50.00%
COVENTRY 92 62 30 48.39% \$169,350 \$147,000 15.20% 111 123 -9.76% 36 15 WEST GREENWICH 9 5 4 80.00% \$299,000 \$275,000 8.73% 108 230 -53.04% 3 2 WEST GREENWICH 9 5 4 80.00% \$299,000 \$275,000 8.73% 108 230 -53.04% 3 2	WEST WARWICK	44	30	14	46.67%		\$163,500	-15.90%	83	90	-7.78%	23	12	91.67%
COVENTRY 92 62 30 48.39% \$169,350 \$147,000 15.20% 111 123 -9.76% 36 15 WEST GREENWICH 9 5 4 80.00% \$299,000 \$275,000 8.73% 108 230 -53.04% 3 2 WEST GREENWICH 9 5 4 80.00% \$299,000 \$275,000 8.73% 108 230 -53.04% 3 2	WARWICK	185	177	8	4.52%	\$140,000	\$149,100	-6.10%	79	87	-9.20%	77	77	0.00%
WEST GREENWICH 9 5 4 80.00% \$299,000 \$275,000 8.73% 108 230 -53.04% 3 2		92	62	30	48.39%	\$169,350	\$147,000	15.20%	111	123	-9.76%	36	15	140.00%
	WEST GREENWICH	9	5	4	80.00%			8.73%	108	230	-53.04%	3	2	50.00%
Information is provided by State-Wide Multiple Listing Service, Inc. Readers are cautioned that the median sales price with half the prices higher and half lower generally reflects the quality a mix (type and size) of the properties being sold at the time and is not a true measure of home values.								rice with I	half the prices	s higher and h	alf lower	generally refle	cts the quality	y and the